

4184 - 01P
Department of Health & Human Services
Administration for Children and Families

Program Office: Administration on Children, Youth, and Families; Family and Youth Services Bureau

Funding Opportunity Title: Notice of FY 2006 Proposed Formula Allocations for Targeted Assistance Grants to States for Services to Refugees.

Announcement Type: Initial

Funding Opportunity Number: HHS-2006-ACF-ORR-TA-0116

CFDA Number: 93.584

ACTION: Notice of availability of proposed allocations for Fiscal Year (FY) 2006 targeted assistance grants to States for services to refugees¹ in local areas of high need.
[CFDA No. 93.584, Refugee and Entrant Assistance – Targeted Assistance Grants]

Executive Summary: This proposed notice announces the availability of funds and award procedures for FY 2006 Targeted Assistance Program (TAP) grants to States for services to refugees under the Refugee Resettlement Program (RRP). These grants are for service provision in localities

¹ In addition to persons who meet all requirements of 45 CFR 400.43, "Requirements for documentation of refugee status," eligibility for targeted assistance includes refugees, asylees, Cuban and Haitian entrants, certain Amerasians from Viet Nam who are admitted to the U.S. as immigrants, certain Amerasians from Viet Nam who are U.S. citizens and victims of a severe form of trafficking who receive certification or eligibility letters from ORR, and certain other specified family members of trafficking victims. See Section II of this notice on "Authorization," and refer to 45 CFR 400.43 and the ORR State Letter #01-13 on the Trafficking Victims Protection Act dated May 3, 2001, as modified by ORR State Letter #02-01, January 4, 2002, and ORR State Letter #04-12, June 18, 2004. The term "refugee," used in this notice for convenience, is intended to encompass such additional persons who are eligible to participate in refugee program services, including the TAP.

with large refugee populations, high refugee concentrations, high use of public assistance, and where specific needs exist for supplementation of currently available resources.

Qualification of counties for eligibility for TAP grants is determined once every three years as stated initially in the FY 1999 Notice of Proposed Availability of Targeted Assistance Allocations to States which was published in the Federal Register on March 10, 1999 (64 FR 11927). The FY 2005 – FY 2007 three-year project cycle began in FY 2005. FY 2005 was the year for the re-qualification of counties for the three-year project cycle (FY 2005, FY 2006, and FY 2007) for TAP funds.

The FY 2006 proposed notice includes 47 of the 48 counties qualified in FY 2005. One county, Davidson County in Tennessee, withdrew from the TAP. The State of Tennessee informed ORR of its desire to voluntarily withdraw from the program after the FY 2005 awards were issued. Qualifications of the 47 remaining counties were based on the arrivals of refugees (see Footnote 1, for eligible populations) during the five-year period from FY 2001 through FY 2005 (October 1, 2000 – September 30, 2005). The FY 2006 TAP populations, TAP allocations by State, and targeted assistance areas in the 26 States eligible for TAP funds are listed in this proposed notice in Table 1, Table 2, and Table 3.

DATES: Comments on this notice must be received by **(06/22/2006)**

ADDRESSES: Please send your written comments, in duplicate, to: Kathy Do, Administration for Children and Families, 370 L'Enfant Promenade, S.W., 8th Floor-West, Washington, D.C. 20447.

APPLICATION DEADLINE: The deadline for applications will be established by the final notice. Applications should not be sent in response to this notice of proposed allocations.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kathy Do, Division of Budget, Policy and Data Analysis (DBPDA), (202) 401-4579; e-mail: KDO@acf.hhs.gov

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Purpose and Scope

This notice announces the proposed allocations for FY 2006 funds for targeted assistance program (TAP) grants for services to refugees (see Footnote 1 for eligible populations) in counties where, because of factors such as unusually large refugee populations, high refugee concentrations, and high use of public assistance, there exists and can be demonstrated a specific need for supplementation of resources for services to this population.

The Office of Refugee Resettlement (ORR) has available \$48,590,000 (after rescission) in FY 2006 funds for the TAP as part of the FY 2006 appropriation under the Departments of Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act 2006 Public Law (P. L.) 109-149.

The Director of ORR proposes to use the \$48,590,000 in targeted assistance funds as follows:

- \$43,731,000 will be allocated to States under the five-year (October 1, 2000 – September 30, 2005) population formula, as set forth in this proposed notice.
- \$4,859,000 (10 percent of the total) will be used to award discretionary grants to States under continuation grant awards.

The purpose of targeted assistance grants is to provide, through a process of local planning and implementation, direct services intended to result in the economic self sufficiency and reduced welfare dependency of refugees through job placements.

The TAP reflects the requirements of Section 412(c)(2)(B) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) (8 U.S.C. Section 1522(c)(2)(B)), which provides that targeted assistance grants shall be made available "(i) primarily for the purpose of facilitating refugee employment and achievement of self-sufficiency, (ii) in a manner that does not supplant other refugee program funds and that assures that not less than 95 percent of the amount of the grant award is made available to the county or other local entity."

II. Authorization

TAPs are funded under the authority of Section 412(c)(2) of the INA, as amended by the Refugee Assistance Extension Act of 1986 (P.L. 99 605), (8 U.S.C. Section 1522(c)(2)); Section 501(a) of the Refugee Education Assistance Act of 1980 (P.L. 96 422), (8 U.S.C. Section 1522) note, insofar as it incorporates by reference with respect to Cuban and Haitian entrants the authorities pertaining to assistance for refugees established by Section 412(c)(2) of the INA, as cited above; Section 584(c) of the Foreign Operations, Export Financing, and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 1988, as included in the FY 1988 Continuing Resolution (P.L. 100-202), insofar as it incorporates by reference with respect to certain Amerasians from Viet Nam the authorities pertaining to assistance for refugees established by Section 412(c)(2) of the INA, as cited above, including certain Amerasians from Viet Nam who are U.S. citizens, as provided under title II of the Foreign Operations, Export Financing, and Related Programs Appropriations Acts, 1989 (P.L. 100-461), 1990 (P.L. 101-167), and 1991 (P.L. 101-513); Section 107(b)(1)(A) of the Trafficking Victims Protection Act of 2000 (P.L. 106-386), as amended by the Trafficking Victims Protection Reauthorization Act of 2003 (P.L. 108-193), insofar as it states that a victim of a severe form of trafficking and certain other specified family members shall be eligible for Federally funded or administered benefits and services to the same extent as a refugee.

III. Client and Service Priorities

Targeted assistance funding must be used to assist refugee families to achieve economic independence. To this end, States and counties are required to ensure that a coherent family self-sufficiency plan (FSSP), employment development plan (EDP), or individual employability plan (IEP) is developed for each eligible family that addresses the family's needs from time of arrival until attainment of economic independence. (See 45 CFR 400.79, 400.156(g), and 400.317). The FSSP or EDP should address a family's needs for both employment-related services and other needed social services. The plan must include: (1) a determination of the income level a family would have to earn to exceed its cash grant and move into self-support without suffering a monetary penalty; (2) a strategy and timetable for obtaining that level of family income through the placement in employment of sufficient numbers of employable family members at sufficient wage levels; (3) employability plans for every employable member of the family; and (4) a plan to address the family's social services needs that may be barriers to self-sufficiency. In local jurisdictions that have targeted assistance and refugee social services programs, one FSSP may be developed for a family that incorporates both targeted assistance and refugee social services.

Services funded through the TAP must focus primarily on those refugees who, either because of their protracted use of public assistance or difficulty in securing employment, continue to need services beyond the initial years of resettlement. States may not provide services funded under this notice, except for referral and interpreter services, to refugees who have been in the United States for more than 60 months (5 years) (See 45 CFR 400.315).

In accordance with 45 CFR 400.314, States must provide targeted assistance services to refugees in the following order of priority, except in certain individual extreme circumstances: (1) Cash assistance recipients, particularly long-term recipients; (2) Unemployed refugees who are not receiving cash assistance; and (3) Employed refugees in need of services to retain employment or to attain economic independence.

In addition to the statutory requirement that TAP funds be used "primarily for the purpose of facilitating refugee employment" (Section 412(c)(2)(B)(i) of the INA), funds awarded under this program are intended to help fulfill the Congressional intent that "employable refugees should be placed on jobs as soon as possible after their arrival in the United States" (Section 412(a)(1)(B)(i) of the INA). Therefore, in accordance with 45 CFR 400.313, targeted assistance funds must be used primarily for employability services designed to enable refugees to obtain jobs with less than one year's participation in the TAP in order to achieve economic self-sufficiency as soon as possible. Targeted assistance services may continue to be provided after a refugee has entered a job to help the refugee retain employment or move to a better job. Targeted assistance funds may not be used for long-term training programs such as vocational training that last for more than a year or educational programs that are not intended to lead to employment within a year.

In accordance with 45 CFR 400.317, if targeted assistance funds are used for the provision of English language training, such training must be provided in a **concurrent**, rather than sequential, time period with employment or with other employment-related activities.

A portion of a local area's allocation may be used for services that are not directed toward the achievement of a specific employment objective in less than one year but that are essential to the adjustment of refugees in the community, provided such needs are clearly demonstrated and such use is approved by the State (See 45 CFR 400.316).

Reflecting Section 412(a)(1)(A)(iv) of the INA, States must "ensure that women have the same opportunities as men to participate in training and instruction." Additionally, in accordance with 45 CFR 400.317, services must be provided to the maximum extent feasible in a manner that includes the use of bilingual/bicultural women on service agency staff to ensure adequate service access by refugee women. The Director of ORR also strongly encourages the inclusion of refugee women in management and board positions in agencies that serve refugees. In order to facilitate refugee self-support, the Director also expects States to implement strategies that address simultaneously the employment potential of both male and female wage earners in a family unit. States and counties are expected to make every effort to obtain child care services, preferably subsidized child care, for children in order to allow women with children the opportunity to participate in employment services or to accept or retain employment. To accomplish this, child care may be treated as an employment-related service under the TAP. Refugees who are participating in targeted assistance-funded or social services-funded employment services or have accepted employment are eligible for child care services for children. States and counties are expected to use child care funding from other publicly-administered programs, such as child care services funded under the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) or under the Child Care and Development Block Grant (CCDBG), as a primary resource. States and counties are encouraged to work with service providers to ensure mainstream access for refugees to other publicly funded resources for child care. For an employed refugee, targeted assistance-funded child care should be limited to situations in which **no** other publicly-funded child care funding is available. In these cases, child care services funded by targeted assistance should be limited to one year after the refugee becomes employed.

In accordance with 45 CFR 400.317, targeted assistance services must be provided in a manner that is culturally and linguistically compatible with a refugee's language and cultural background to the maximum extent feasible. In light of the increasingly diverse population of refugees who are resettling in this country, refugee service agencies will need to develop practical ways of providing culturally and linguistically appropriate services to a changing ethnic population. Services funded under this notice must be refugee-specific services that are designed specifically to meet refugee needs and are in keeping with the rules and objectives of the refugee program. Short-term vocational or job-skills training, on-the-job training (OJT), or English language training (ELT), however, need not be refugee-specific.

ORR strongly encourages States and counties when contracting for targeted assistance services, including employment services, to give consideration to the special strengths of mutual assistance associations (MAAs) whenever contract bidders are otherwise equally qualified,

provided that the MAA has the capability to deliver services in a manner that is culturally and linguistically compatible with the background of the target population to be served.

ORR also strongly encourages MAAs to ensure that their management and board composition reflect the major target populations to be served.

ORR defines MAAs as organizations with the following qualifications:

- a. The organization is legally incorporated as a nonprofit organization; and
Not less than 51percent of the composition of the Board of Directors or governing
- b. board of the MAA is comprised of refugees or former refugees, including both refugee men and women.

Finally, in order to provide culturally and linguistically compatible services in as cost-efficient a manner as possible in time of limited resources, ORR strongly encourages States and counties to promote and give special consideration to the provision of services through coalitions of refugee service organizations, such as coalitions of MAAs, voluntary resettlement agencies, or a variety of service providers. ORR believes it is essential for refugee-serving organizations to form close partnerships in the provision of services to refugees in order to be able to respond adequately to a changing refugee environment. States and counties are encouraged to consider public or private non-profit agencies, which may include faith-based, refugee or community-based organizations, as eligible for TAP funds. Additionally, coalition-building and consolidation of providers is particularly important in communities with multiple service providers in order to ensure better coordination of services and maximum use of funding for services by minimizing the funds used for multiple administrative overhead costs.

The award of funds to States under this proposed notice will be contingent upon the completeness of a State's application as described in Section VIII, below.

IV. {Reserved for Discussion of Comments in the Final Notice}

V. Eligible Grantees

Eligible grantees are: (1) agencies of State governments which are responsible for the refugee program under 45 CFR 400.5 in States containing counties that qualify for FY 2006 targeted assistance awards; (2) a replacement designee appointed by the ORR Director pursuant to 45 CFR 400.301(c) if the State authorized the replacement designee to act as its agent in applying for and receiving targeted assistance funds; or (3) an agency that has Statewide responsibility for an alternative to the State-administered program in lieu of the State under a Wilson/Fish grant authorized by Section 412(e)(7) of the INA. All such grantees will hereinafter be referred to as "the State."

The Director of ORR proposes to determine the eligibility of counties for inclusion in the FY 2006 TAP on the basis of the method described in Section VI of this proposed notice. The use of targeted assistance funds for services to Cuban and Haitian entrants is limited to States that have an approved State plan under the Cuban/Haitian Entrant Program.

The State agency will submit a single application to ORR on behalf of all county governments of the qualified counties in that State. Subsequent to ORR's approval of the State's agency application, the county government or other designated entity will develop local targeted assistance plans and submit to the State agency.

A State with more than one qualified county may determine the allocation amount for each qualified county within the State. However, if a State chooses to determine county allocations differently from those set forth in the final notice, in accordance with 45 CFR 400.319, the State must base the proposed FY 2006 allocations on the State's population of refugees who arrived in the U.S. during the most recent five-year period. A State may use public assistance usage data as an additional factor in the allocation of its targeted assistance funds if it so chooses; however, a State may not assign a greater weight to such data than it has assigned to population data in its allocation formula. In addition, if a State chooses to allocate its FY 2006 targeted assistance funds in a manner different from the formula set forth in the final notice, the State must include its' proposed FY 2006 allocations and methodology in the State's application for ORR review and approval.

Applications submitted in response to the final notice are not subject to review by State and area-wide clearinghouses under Executive Order 12372, "Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs."

VI. Qualification and Allocation

For FY 2006, ORR proposes to continue using the formula that bases allocation of targeted assistance funds on the most current five-year refugee arrival data (See Footnote 1 for eligible populations). Targeted assistance services are limited to the arrival population residing in qualified counties who have been in the U.S. five years or less. As stated in the FY 1999 notice of proposed availability of targeted assistance allocations to States that was published on March 10, 1999 (64 FR 11927), the Director of ORR proposes to determine the qualification of counties for targeted assistance once every three years. The FY 2005 – FY 2007 three-year project cycle began in FY 2005. Forty-eight counties qualified in FY 2005. FY 2006 is the second year of the three-year project cycle for TAP funds. As previously stated, Davidson County, Tennessee voluntarily withdrew from the program after the FY 2005 awards were issued. Forty-seven of the 48 counties that qualified for FY 2005 TAP funds will continue to qualify for TAP FY 2006 funds on the basis of the most current five-year (10/1/00 – 9/30/05) population, Table 1. Table 2 illustrates the proposed targeted assistance allocations by State; and Table 3 contains the targeted assistance areas in the 26 States eligible for the TAP.

A. Qualifying Counties

The Director of ORR determines the qualification of counties for targeted assistance once every three years, as stated in the FY 2005 Notice of Final Targeted Assistance Allocations to States that was published in the Federal Register on September 2, 2005 (70 FR 52408). Since ORR determined the qualification of counties for targeted assistance in FY 2005, those qualifying counties determined eligible in FY 2005 and listed in this proposed notice as qualified to apply for FY 2006 TAP funding will remain qualified for TAP funding through the end of FY 2007. ORR does not plan to consider the eligibility of additional counties for TAP funding until FY 2008, when ORR will again review data on all counties that could potentially qualify for TAP funds. ORR has decided to limit the number of qualified counties based on ranking order to the top 47 counties (Table 1) in order to target a sufficient level of funding to the most affected counties. As stated earlier, Davidson County, Tennessee withdrew from the TAP in FY 2005 after the TAP awards were issued. ORR redistributed the TAP funds for Davidson County, Tennessee by formula to the 47 qualified counties in FY 2006.

ORR believes that if it made more frequent re-determinations of whether a county qualifies for targeted assistance, qualifying counties would not have enough time to adequately address the refugee impact on their counties. Less frequent re-determinations of county qualifications could cause counties to not assess the effects of new populations.

B. Allocation Formula

Of the funds available for FY 2006 for targeted assistance ORR would allocate, \$43,731,000 by formula to States for qualified counties based on the initial placements in these counties during the five-year period from FY 2001 through FY 2005 (October 1, 2000 – September 30, 2005). ORR uses data from the ORR Refugee Arrivals Data System (RADS) for the proposed allocation of funds for targeted assistance. This includes the total number of refugees, Cuban/Haitian entrants, parolees, and Amerasians from Viet Nam. Data on victims of severe forms of trafficking originates from the certification and eligibility letters issued by ORR. Trafficking victims have been eligible for services since October 2000 and their family members since December 2003. The States provide data on the number of asylees who have been served in FY 2001 through 2005 through the refugee resettlement program or social service system. For FY 2001 through FY 2005, Havana parolees were derived from actual data.

In FY 2006, ORR continues its voluntary process for data submission by States on the number of asylees or entrants served prior to issuance of the final allocations notice. On February 28, 2006, ORR requested States to voluntarily submit data on asylees or entrants served in their States to ORR no later than April 17, 2006, for matching against ORR's data giving States an opportunity to submit data on the number of asylees and entrants served in FY 2001 through FY 2005. ORR provided to States a format for the submission of entrant data and a format for submission of asylee data. The April 17, 2006 due date was established for data submission. This would allow adequate processing time for the results to be included in the final allocations. ORR requested States to use the

standardized Microsoft Excel® format it provided to submit the data on asylees and entrants served during the five-year period from FY 2001 through FY 2005 (October 1, 2000 – September 30, 2005). This format is also available from Kathy Do by email at KDO@acf.hhs.gov. Data for each population group are to be submitted separately on an Excel® spreadsheet. ORR also asked States to submit their spreadsheets as an attachment to an e-mail to LBlussert@acf.hhs.gov. ORR will verify the data submitted by April 17, 2006 against the ORR arrivals database (RADS). As a result of this process, ORR may make adjustments in the final notice for FY 2006 allocations for targeted assistance funds, provided that States provide the county of residence for each individual submitted.

A county that does not agree with the ORR refugee population estimate for the 2006 proposed targeted assistance eligible population (see Footnote 1 for eligible populations), and believes that its five-year population for FY 2001 – FY 2005 was undercounted, must submit to ORR a letter from each local voluntary agency that resettled refugees in the county. The letter(s) must attest that the targeted assistance eligible population-listed in an attachment to the letter-were resettled as initial placements during the five-year period from FY 2001 -FY 2005 in the county making the claim.

Any documentation submitted in support of adjustments to targeted assistance funding must be submitted in an electronic format such as Microsoft Excel® and must include the name of State, name of county, name of refugee (see Footnote 1 for eligible populations), alien number, date of birth, and date of arrival in the U.S. for each of the eligible populations. ORR will not consider listings of refugees who are not identified by their alien numbers or listings of refugees without a county. Counties should submit such evidence separately from comments they may have in response to this proposed notice. Evidence must be submitted by June 5, 2006, by e-mail as an attachment in a separate Excel spreadsheet for each population group to LBussert@acf.hhs.gov or via overnight mail to: Loren Bussert, Division of Budget, Policy and Data Analysis, 370 L'Enfant Promenade, S.W., Eighth-Floor West, Washington, D.C. 20447, telephone: (202) 401-4732. Failure to submit the required documentation in the specified format within the required time period will result in forfeiture of consideration.

As indicated above, counties that have served asylees should submit the data to ORR by **April 17, 2006**, according to the data format sent to States from ORR. At a minimum, counties need to submit the following information in order to have their population estimate adjusted to include those asylees whose asylum was granted within the 60-month period ending September 30, 2005: (1) alien number (do not include hyphens within the A number); (2) date of birth; (3) asylum grant date; (4) asylee full name; (5) name of State; and (6) name of county. Counties that served asylees with 9-digit A-numbers may submit this data in the same file of those asylees with 8-digit A-numbers.

With regard to the data on trafficking victims, any State that disagrees with the number of trafficking victims shown in Table 1 is requested to contact Loren Bussert at (202) 401-4732 or by e-mail at: LBussert@acf.hhs.gov.

VII. Allocations

Table 1 lists the 47 qualifying counties, their States, and the number of refugee arrivals (see Footnote 1 for eligible populations) in those counties during the five-year period from October 1, 2000 - September 30, 2005.

Table 2 lists the proposed allocations by State for FY 2006.

Table 3 lists the targeted assistance areas for FY 2006.

VIII. Application and Implementation Process

The deadline for submission of the FY 2006 TAP application will be determined in the final notice.

Application Content:

States that are currently operating under approved management plans for their FY 2005 TAP and wish to continue to do so without any changes for their FY 2006 grants may provide the following in lieu of resubmitting the full currently approved plan.

The State's application for FY 2006 funding shall provide:

- Assurance that the State's current management plan for the administration of the TAP, as approved by ORR in FY 2005, will continue to be in full force and effect for the FY 2006 TAP, subject to any additional assurances or revisions required by this notice that are not reflected in the current plan. Any proposed modifications to the approved plan, which should be identified in the application, are subject to ORR review and approval, i.e., if the State assumes local administration of the program or if the State chooses to determine county allocations differently. Any proposed changes must address and reference all appropriate portions of the FY 2005 application content requirements to ensure complete incorporation in the State's management plan.
- A line-item budget and justification for State administrative costs limited to a maximum of five percent of the total award to the State. Each total budget period funding amount requested must be necessary, reasonable, and allocable to the project.
- Targeted assistance performance goals as described under Section IX.

Implementation Process

States may apply for and receive grant awards on behalf of qualified counties in the State. ORR will make a single allocation to each State on the basis of an approved State application. The State agency will, in turn, receive, review, and determine the acceptability of individual county targeted assistance plans.

Pursuant to 45 CFR 400.210(b), FY 2006 targeted assistance funds must be obligated by the State agency no later than one year after the end of the Federal fiscal year in which

the Department awarded the grant. Funds must be liquidated within two years after the end of the Federal fiscal year in which the Department awarded the grant. A State's final financial report on targeted assistance expenditures must be received no later than 90 days after the end of the two-year period. If final reports are not received on time, the Department will de-obligate any unexpended funds, including any un-liquidated obligations, on the basis of the State's last filed report.

A separate program announcement will address the requirements regarding the discretionary portion of the TAP. Applications for discretionary targeted assistance funds are therefore not subject to provisions contained in this notice but to other requirements that will be conveyed separately.

IX. Results or Benefits Expected

All TAP applicants must establish proposed targeted assistance performance goals for each of the six ORR performance outcome measures for each impacted county's proposed service contract(s) or sub-grants for the next contracting cycle. Applications must include proposed performance goals for each performance outcome measure. The six ORR performance outcome measures are: (1) entered employments, (2) cash assistance reductions due to employment, (3) cash assistance terminations due to employment, (4) 90-day employment retentions, (5) average wage at placement, and (6) job placements with available health benefits. TAP activity and progress achieved toward meeting performance outcome measures are to be reported quarterly on the ORR-6, the "Quarterly Performance Report."

States that are currently grantees for targeted assistance funds should base projected annual outcome measures on past performance. Current grantees should have adequate baseline data for all of the six ORR performance outcome measures based on a history of TAP experience.

All qualified counties within States that are current grantees must set proposed outcome goals for each of the six ORR performance outcome measures. Counties may use baseline data, as available, and current data as reported on the ORR-6 for social services program activity to assist them in the goal-setting process.

Proposed targeted assistance outcome goals should reflect improvement over past performance and strive for continuous improvement during the project period from one year to another.

Final targeted assistance performance data are due annually (November 15, 2006, for FY 2006), in conjunction with the ORR Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) cycle.

X. Budget and Budget Justification

TAP applications shall include line-item detail and detailed calculations for each budget object class identified on the Budget Information form (SF-424A). Detailed calculations must include estimation methods, quantities, unit costs, and other similar quantitative detail sufficient for the calculation to be duplicated. The detailed budget must also include a breakout by the funding sources identified in Block 15 of the SF-424.

A narrative budget justification must describe how the applicant derives the categorical costs. Discuss the necessity, reasonableness, and allocability of the proposed costs. ORR is particularly interested in the following:

A line-item budget and justification for State administrative costs limited to a maximum of five percent of the total award to the State. Each total budget period funding amount requested must be necessary, reasonable, and allocable to the project. States that administer the program locally in lieu of the county, through a mutual agreement with the qualifying county, may request administrative costs that add up to, but may not exceed, ten percent of the county's TAP allocation to the State's administrative budget.

XI. Reporting Requirements

States must submit quarterly reports on the outcomes of the TAP, using Schedule A and Schedule C of the ORR-6 Quarterly Performance Report (0970-0036).

XII. THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT OF 1995 (P. L. 104-13)

All information collections within this program notice are approved under the following valid OMB control numbers: 424 (0348-0043); 424A (0348-0044); 424B (0348-0040); Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (0348-0046); Financial Status Report (SF-269) (0348-0039) and ORR Quarterly Performance Report (0970-0036).

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 10 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and reviewing the collection of information.

Dated: 05/17/2006

Martha E. Newton
Director
Office of Refugee Resettlement.

Table 1 Proposed Targeted Assistance Allocations By County, FY 2006

County	State	Refugees 1/	Entrants	Havana Parolees 2/	Asylees Traffickees	Total Arrivals FY 2001- FY 2005	Total FY 2006 Allocation
1 Maricopa County	Arizona	6,148	726	9	133	7,016	\$1,230,866
2 Los Angeles County	California		29	60	2,404	9,482	1,488,230
3 Sacramento County	California	9,562	0	4	79	9,645	1,692,090
4 San Diego County 3/	California	3,800	3	7	1,178	4,988	878,080
5 Santa Clara County	California	2,176	0	2	495	2,673	468,943
6 Denver County 3/	Colorado	2,338	0	0	154	2,492	437,189
7 Broward County	Florida	413	1,218	1,310	2,971	5,912	1,037,183
8 Collier County	Florida	178	326	940	174	1,618	283,857
9 Dade County	Florida	6,513	17415	36,095	5,110	65,133	11,426,737
10 Duval County	Florida	2,163	51	146	213	2,573	481,399
11 Hillsborough County	Florida	1,336	830	1,928	475	4,569	801,872
12 Orange County	Florida	651	311	633	1,243	2,838	497,890
13 Palm Beach County	Florida	349	1,281	1,432	1,010	4,072	714,379
14 DeKalb County	Georgia	5,322	51	25	148	5,546	972,973
15 Fulton County	Georgia	1,364	6	12	62	1,444	283,331
16 Ada County 3/	Idaho	1,562	0	1	12	1,575	276,313
17 Cook/Kane	Illinois	4,985	24	75	364	5,448	988,781
18 Polk County	Iowa	1,972	0	0	0	1,972	348,962
19 Jefferson County 3/	Kentucky	2,018	1,848	38	31	3,935	690,348
20 Baltimore County	Maryland	1,917	4	4	374	2,299	403,330
21 Montgomery/Prince George's	Maryland	1,799	6	20	1,598	3,423	600,821
22 Hampden County 3/	Massachusetts	1,907	0	0	26	1,933	339,120
23 Suffolk County 3/	Massachusetts	2,173	78	6	606	2,863	502,276
24 Ingham County	Michigan	1,316	808	15	0	2,139	378,260
25 Kent County	Michigan	1,742	120	34	2	1,898	332,979
26 Hennepin/Ramsey	Minnesota	14,504	3	5	186	14,698	2,878,873
27 City of St. Louis	Missouri	3,796	0	2	54	3,852	678,783
28 Clark County 3/	Nevada	1,398	1,067	88	0	2,553	447,891
29 Erie County	New York	2,220	487	3	19	2,729	478,768
30 Monroe County	New York	1,557	308	7	7	1,879	329,646
31 New York	New York	8,225	179	117	2,130	10,651	1,868,879
32 Oneida County	New York	1,769	0	0	5	1,774	311,228
33 Onondaga County	New York	1,892	756	4	0	2,652	468,289
34 Guilford County	North Carolina	1,698	2	17	78	1,795	314,909
35 Mecklenburg County	North Carolina	1,814	7	21	167	2,009	382,483
36 Cass 3/	North Dakota	875	0	0	1	876	183,683
37 Franklin County	Ohio	2,763	3	4	306	3,076	539,644
38 Multnomah	Oregon	6,981	600	5	91	7,677	1,346,830
39 Philadelphia County	Pennsylvania	2,635	8	15	92	2,750	482,482
40 Minnehaha County 3/	South Dakota	1,084	0	4	7	1,095	192,103
41 Dallas/Tarrant	Texas	5,383	9	55	362	5,809	1,019,113
42 Harris County	Texas	4,829	1,368	31	318	6,546	1,148,410
43 Davis/Salt Lake	Utah	3,063	5	1	106	3,175	587,012
44 Fairfax County	Virginia	2,111	1	17	538	2,667	467,890
45 City of Richmond	Virginia	1,266	12	4	8	1,290	226,314
46 King/ Snohomish	Washington	8,894	0	8	0	8,902	1,861,740
47 Spokane County	Washington	1,679	1,679	0	0	3,358	589,117
Total		151,099	31,629	43,204	23,337	249,269	6 43,731,000

1/ Includes Amerasian immigrants from Vietnam. Not adjusted for secondary migration.

2/ For all years, Havana parolees from actual data.

3/ Allocation to be awarded to a Wilson/Fish grantee, if approved by the Director.

Table 2 - Proposed Targeted Assistance Allocations By State					
	FY 2006				
				\$43,731,000	
State				Total FY 2006	
				Allocation	
Arizona				\$ 1,230,866	
California				4,694,343	
Colorado				437,189	
Florida				15,213,017	
Georgia				1,226,304	
Idaho				276,313	
Illinois				955,781	
Iowa				345,962	
Kentucky				690,345	
Maryland				1,003,851	
Massachusetts				841,396	
Michigan				708,239	
Minnesota				2,578,573	
Missouri				675,783	
Nevada				447,891	
New York				3,453,477	
North Carolina				667,362	
North Dakota				153,683	
Ohio				539,644	
Oregon				1,346,830	
Pennsylvania				482,452	
South Dakota				192,103	
Texas				2,167,523	
Utah				557,012	
Virginia				694,204	
Washington				2,150,857	
Total				\$43,731,000	

Table 3 - Targeted Assistance Areas, FY 2006

State	Targeted Assistance Area	Definition
Arizona	Maricopa County	
California	Los Angeles County	
	Sacramento County	
	San Diego	
	Santa Clara County	
Colorado	Denver	
Florida	Broward County	
	Collier County	
	Dade County	
	Duval County	
	Hillsborough County	
	Orange County	
	Palm Beach County	
Georgia	DeKalb County	
	Fulton County	
Idaho	Ada County	
Illinois	Cook and Kane Counties	
Iowa	Polk County	
Kentucky	Jefferson County	
Maryland	Baltimore County City/Copunty	
	Montgomery/Prince George's County	
Massachusetts	Hampden County	
	Suffolk County	
Michigan	Ingham County	
	Kent County	
Minnesota	Hennepin/Ramsey	
Missouri	City of St. Louis	
Nevada	Clark County	
New York	Erie County	
	Monroe County	
	New York	Bronx, Kings, Queens, New York, and Richmond Counties
	Oneida County	
	Onondaga County	
North Carolina	Cuiford County	
	Mecklenberg County	
North Dakota	Cass County	
Ohio	Franklin County	
Oregon	Multnomah	Clackamas, Multnomah, and Washington Counties, Oregon, and Clark County, Washington
Pennsylvania	Philadelphia County	
South Dakota	Minnehaha County	
Texas	Dallas/Tarrant	
	Harris County	
Utah	Davis/Salt Lake.....	Davis, Salt Lake, and Utah Counties
Virginia	Fairfax	Arlington and Fairfax Counties and the cities of Falls Church, Fairfax, and Alexandria
	City of Richmond	
Washington	King/Snohomish	
	Spokane County	